

North Carolina Coastal Federation

35 years working together for a healthy coast



Phragmites

Control Strategies & Management





North Carolina Coastal Federation **35** years working together for a healthy coast







Control Strategies



- Mechanical
- Chemical
- Biological



Mechanical

- Mowing/cutting/disking/spading
- Burning
- Water level manipulation
- Smothering



Mowing

- Careful to avoid further soil disturbance
- Timing is critical (late summer)
- Cut to > 4 inches
- Remove cut shoots
- Rhizomes still remain
- Moist soils-access



Disking

- Breaks up and spreads rhizomes
- Disrupts wetland soils





Spading

- Ontario, Humber College
- Selective removal
- Cutting stalks below surface
- Goal is to not disturb the soil, prevent photosynthesis of plant
- Currently no recorded data research started 2016





Burning

- Large dense stands
- Remove excess biomass....but
- Promotes native growth
- Easier to spot regrowth
- Cost-effective/ecologically sound
- Does not affect rhizomes



Water Level Manipulation

- Reduces oxygen available to rhizomes
- At least 3 inches of water
- Usually used in impoundments
- Tidal gates
- Conduct in late summer



Smothering

- Best for smaller sites
- During growing season
- Cover cut plants



http://www.greatlakesphragmites.n et/management/techniques/

High temps/lack of sunlight kill rhizomes



Chemical

- Herbicide Aquatic
 - Glyphosate
 - Imazapyr
 - Imazamox
- Methods of application
 - Spray
 - Hand swiping
 - Stem injection



Biological



- Grazing
 - Long term, low-intensity grazing can reduce density
 - Increase plant species diversity
 - 171 species of non-native herbivores that could potentially be used to control phrag
 - 21 of these species are introduced



Biological

- Most promising species that eat roots:
 - Reed leopard (Phragmataecia castaneae)
 - Reed stalk borer (Chilo phragmitella)
 - Aquatic reed borer (Schoenobius gigantella)
- Shoot feeding herbivores
 - Shoot feeding moths
 - Chloropid flies
- Shoot density reduction
 - Large wainscot (R. lutosa)



Biological

- Limited research
- Cornell University and CABI (Center for Agriculture and Biosciences International)
- USGS research on gene silencing & disruption of symbiotic relationship between phrag & microbial endophytes
- Recent blight in Louisiana
 - Roseau cane mealy bug



North Carolina Management





MANAGEMENT PLAN

NC Aquatic Nuisance Species Management Plan Committee



Prioritization of ANS Species currently found in North Carolina. Species in bold have commercial or recreational value

| 1. | Higher Priority | Lower Priority |
|----|-----------------|----------------|
| | Medium Priority | <u></u> |

. . .

| | • | | | | | | - | | |
|---------------------------------------|---|---------|-------------------------|--|----------------|------------|---------------------|------------|-------------|
| | | | | | | | | | |
| | Scientific Name | | Common Name | | Taxa Group | 1 | Habitat | Rank | |
| | Hydrila verticilata | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | - | |
| | Pterois miles | | | | Fish | | Marine | 2 | |
| | Pterois volitans | | Red Lionfish | | Fish | | Marine | 2 | |
| | Nymphoides peltata | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | 4 | |
| | Phragmites australis australis | | European Common Reed | | Plant | | Freshwater-Brackish | | |
| | Orconectes rusticus | | Rusty Crayfish | | Crayfish | | Freshwater | 6 | |
| | Procambarus clarkli | | Red Swamp Crawfish | | Crayfith | | Freshwater | 6 | |
| | Alternanthera philoseroides | | Alligatorweed | | Plant | | Freshwater | 6 | |
| | Orconectes virils | | Virie Crayfish C | | Crayfish | | Freshwater | 9 | |
| | lictalurus funcatus | | Dise Cattlah | | Fish | | Freshwater | 10 | |
| | Lyngbys wollei | | Glant Lyngbys Cr | | Cyanobacterium | | Freshwater | 11 | |
| | Cipangopaludina chinensis malleata | | | | Gastropod | | Freshwater | 12 | |
| | Cipangopaludina japonica | | Japanese Mysterysnall | | Gastropod | | Freshwater | 12 | |
| | Anguilicoloides crassus (= Anguilicola crassus) | | | | Nematode | | NA | 12 | |
| | Myriophyllum spicatum | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | 12 | |
| | Myocaster coypus | | | | Mammal | | Freshwater | 16 | |
| | Corbicula fluminea | | | | Bivalve | | Freshwater | 17 | |
| | Micropterus punctulatus | | | | Fish | | Freshwater | 17 | |
| | Phyliorhita punctata | | | | Coelenterat | | Marine | 19 | |
| | Lythrum salkarla | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | 19 | |
| | Lythrum spp. | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | 19 | |
| | Murdannia keluak | | | | Plant | | Freshwater | 19 | |
| Ludwigia hexapetala (L. uruguayensis) | | | Uruguay Waterprimose | | Plant | | Freshwater | 23 | |
| | Myriophylium aquaticum | | Parrotheather | | Plant | | Freshwater | 23 | |
| | Polysiphonia breviarticulata | | a red algae | | Algae | | Marine | 25 | |
| | Egeria densa | | Brazilian Elodes | | Plant | | Freshwater | 25 | |
| | Naja minor | | Brittle Nalad | | Plant | | Freshwater | 25 | |
| | Triadica sebifera | | | | Plant | Freshwater | | 25 | |
| | Tiapis zili | | Redbelly Tilapla | | Fish | | Freshwater | 29 | |
| | Ludwigis pepioides pepioides | | Creeping Water Primrose | | Plant | | Freshwater | 29 | |
| | Codium fragile tomentosoides | | Green Sea Fingers | | Algae | | Marine | 11 | |
| | Carchus maenas | | European Green Crab | | Crab | | Marine | | |
| | Creochromis sureus | | | | Fish | | Frethwater | | |
| - mico | | | New Theology | | | 11011 | | | |
| volitans Red Lic | | Red Lio | onfish | | | Fish | | Marine | |
| vides peltata Yellow | | Yellow | v Floating Heart | | Plant | | Freshwater | | |
| nites australis australis Euro | | | opean Common Reed | | | Plant | | Freshwate | er-Brackish |
| | | | Crayfish | | | Crayfish | | Freshwater | |
| ocambarus clarkii Re | | | | | | Crayfish | | Freshwate | er |
| mbarus clarkii | | nea ou | amp crawnsn | | | | | | |

North Carolina Gaps

The overall goal of the North Carolina Aquatic Nuisance Species Plan is "to prevent and control the introduction, spread and negative impacts of aquatic nuisance species in North Carolina." Currently gaps in ANS management within the state include: the lack of a comprehensive policy statement on ANS, the lack of a centralized decision making framework, inadequate statewide monitoring and reporting procedures, no centralized reporting system, a lack of biological and economic data, insufficient mapping, no rapid response plans, limited resources for enforcement, no comprehensive public education strategy, and limited coordination of partnerships with nonstate agencies and entities.



State Agencies

- NC Division of Water Resources
- NC State Parks
- NC Division of Coastal Management
- NC Sea Grant
- NC Department of Transportation
- NC Wildlife Resources Commission
- Albemarle-Pamlico National Estuary
 Partnership



NC Aquatic Weed Control Program

- Cost share program
- Fact sheets
- Additional informational links





NC State Parks

- No comprehensive treatment plan
- Efforts kept track separately at each park
 - EDD MapS
- Prescriptions on an as needed basis
- 2009 report out on management in 8 coastal parks
- Research 2015 "Distribution and Management of Invasive Plant Populations in State Park Properties in the Coastal Plain
- Challenges
 - Funding, staffing and time



NC Coastal Reserve Program NC Sea Grant





Phragmites: Always a foe, or sometimes a friend?

SEPTEMBER 1, 2015 | E-CHING LEE

By SETH THEUERKAUF



US Fish and Wildlife Service

Alligator River National Wildlife Refuge

Comprehensive Conservation Plan



U.S. Department of the Interior Fish and Wildlife Service Southeast Region

August 2008



US FWS

- Currently working on draft protocol to rapidly assess the management actions on Phragmites and to be able to report in a quantitative manor.
- Each refuge manages based on their own budget
- Looking at plants assistance for shoreline stabilization
- More funding and staffing needed



National Park Service

- Cape Hatteras (4 years) and Cape Lookout
- Exotic Plant Management Team
- Each park has own strategy
- Aerial spraying at Bodie Island
- Bi-yearly treatments (map treated)
- Either treat or map- not enough funding



Assateague Island National Seashore

- Managing for 10 years
- Aerial survey of existing
- Ground truthing
- Aerial spray (higher density)/burn
- New problem: NPDES concerns with chemicals near water





NRCS

Pest Management – Invasive Plant Control Common Reed – *Phragmites australis* Conservation Practice Job Sheet

NH-595





United States Department of Agriculture

ONRCS

Natural Resources Conservation Service Wetland Science Institute

Wetland Science Institute Wetland Restoration, Enhancement, and Management



North Carolina Coastal Federation **35** years working together for a healthy coast





- Element Stewardship Abstract
- Staffing, funding and time
- Knowing your sensitive areas and keeping it out



Audubon

- Management for shorebird nesting habitat
- Attempts at cut and cover projects but limited staffing
- Funding
- Coordinated efforts on research with Chowan University and NC Coastal Reserve



Management Elsewhere



USFWS - Utah



PHRAGMITES CONTROL PLAN

By

Bridget E. Olson Wildlife Biologist

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Bear River Migratory Bird Refuge 2155 West Forest Street Brigham City, Utah 84302 Phone: (435) 723-5887

August 29, 2007









LINKING PEOPLE, INFORMATION & ACTION

Phrag Basics v

Management v

Resources v

Regulations PAMF ~ Blog

About ~ Ω



http://www.greatlakesphragmites.net/



Phragmites Adaptive Management Framework (PAMF)



https://www.usgs.gov/media/videos/phragmites-adaptive-management-framework-pamf



North Carolina Coastal Federation **35** years working together for a healthy coast

Events

The following PAMF Training Sessions are available for year one PAMF Participants. At each session, participants can network with the PAMF team and with other PAMF participants, pick-up a free PAMF Field Kit, and learn the PAMF protocols. Each session will run from 10 am – 2 pm and include a classroom and field component. If you would like to attend one of these training sessions, or if you do not see one in your area and you would like to participate in PAMF, please contact Karen Alexander.

- Oakland University, Rochester, Michigan, June 28, 2017
- Georgian Bay Forever, Port Severn, Ontario, July 10, 2017
- Ozaukee-Washington Land Trust, West Bend, Wisconsin, July 12, 2017
- University of Green Bay, Green Bay, Wisconsin, July 11, 2017
- Upper Thames River Conservation Authority, London, Ontario, July 12, 2017
- Chickaming Open Lands, Sawyer, Michigan, July 13, 2017
- Huron Pines, Gaylord, Michigan, July 14, 2017
- Quanicassee State Wildlife Area, Essexville, Michigan, July 17, 2017
- Point Moulliee State Game Area, Rockwood, Michigan, July 18, 2017
- Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge, Oak Harbor, Ohio, July 19, 2017
- Cleveland Museum of Natural History, Mentor, Ohio, July 20, 2017







A LANDOWNER'S GUIDE TO PHRAGMITES CONTROL

JENNIFER M. GRANHOLM, GOVERNOR STEVEN E. CHESTER, DIRECTOR MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY


Phragmites Treatment/Management Prioritization Tool

January 2014

Criteria

Ecological Criteria

Value Score

DE

1. Region: In what region of Michigan is your site located?

| Upper Peninsula | (5 pts.) | |
|---|----------|--|
| Northern Lower Peninsula (north of vegetation tension zone) | (3 pts.) | |
| Southern Lower Peninsula | (1 pts.) | |

2. Local abundance: Is invasive Phragmites australis locally abundant in similar habitat in the general area*?

*General area is approximately 2 miles from the site

| Very Abundant (>50% of similar habitat is infested) | (-5 pts.) | |
|---|-----------|--|
| Moderate to low abundance (10-50% infested) | (0 pts.) | |
| Virtually absent locally (<10% infested) | (5 pts.) | |

3. Infestation size: How large is the Phragmites infestation (approximate patch size)?

| Less than 1000 square feet | (9 pts.) | |
|----------------------------|----------|--|
| 1000 square feet - 1 acre | (7 pts.) | |
| 1 acre - 20 acres | (5 pts.) | |
| Greater than 20 acres | (3 pts.) | |

4. *Linear feature:* Is the infestation in a linear feature, such as a roadside ditch, drain, utility corridor, etc.?

| Yes, the infestation is in a linear feature | (5 pts.) | |
|--|----------|--|
| No, the infestation is not in a linear feature | (0 pts.) | |

http://www.michigan.gov/documents/deq/wrd-ais-phragtool-print_445378_7.pdf







Table of Contents

Introduction 1

Understanding Phragmites 3

The Problem 3

Illustration of the Nonnative Phragmites Plant 4

Plant Description 5

Native Phragmites 5

Life Cycle 7

Control Methods 9

Herbicides 11

Table 1. Herbicide Application Information 12

Table 2. Herbicide Application Methods 13

Prescribed Fire 15

Mechanical Treatment 17

Water Level Management "Flooding" 21 Recommended Management Strategies 23

Management Strategy for Large, Dense Stands of Phragmites on a Wet or Dry Site 24

Management Strategy for Low Density Stands of Phragmites on a Wet or Dry Site 25

Table 3. Management Strategies 26

Management Strategy for Large, Dense Stands of Phragmites in Impoundments 27

Long-Term Management and Monitoring 29 A Call to Action 33

Reference Information 34

Contact Information 35

Acknowledgments 37

| Method | Phragmites Stand Characteristics | Site Conditions | Treatment Technique | Precautions |
|-----------------------|---|---|---|--|
| Injecting Stems | Scattered or isolated | Effective in areas where impacts to desirable, native plant species must be avoided. | Cut plants to waist height. Add one drop of herbicide to hollow stems with a squirt bottle or syringe. | Seed heads should be removed from the site after cutting to prevent seed spread. |
| Hand Swiping | Scattered or isolated | Effective in areas where impacts to desirable, native plant species must be avoided. Also recom- mended for follow-up treatments where native vegetation is recovering. | Cover (wipe) each individual stem using a cot- ton wicking glove worn over a chemical resistant glove. | Use care not to oversaturate or drip herbicides on native vegetation. |
| Backpack Sprayer | Scattered to moderately dense stands | Use on low-wind days to prevent drift outside the treatment area. Use carefully to avoid native plants. | Spray close to leaves using low pressure. | Utilize flat fan nozzles to minimize non-target exposure. |
| Wick or Dauber | Moderately dense to dense stands greater than 1 acre | Targets Phragmites without impacting shorter plant species. Useful when complete eradica- tion of all plants is not desired. Also recom- mended for follow-up treatments where native vegetation is recovering. | Saturate absorbent material with low pressure sprayers attached to an ATV or tractor. The area must be covered twice. | Herbicide may not be effective on stems broken or damaged by the equipment. |
| Boom Sprayer | Dense stands greater than 1 acre | Use on low-wind days to prevent drift outside the treatment area. Use carefully to avoid native plants. | Attach low pressure boom sprayers to an ATV or tractor. | Herbicide may not be effective on stems broken or damaged by the equipment. |
| Aerial Application | Dense stands greater than 5 acres | Use on low-wind days to prevent drift outside the treatment area. Use carefully to avoid native plants. | Spray area from helicopter booms using proper droplet size, boom length and nozzle type. | Large scale application may affect adjacent plant commu- nities. Using a skilled pilot is imperative. |

http://www.michigan.gov/invasives/0,5664,7-324-68001_73817-178183--,00.html



North Carolina Coastal Federation **35** years working together for a healthy coast

| | | Imazapyr | Glyphosate | Combination |
|-------------|----------------|--|--|--|
| Treatment 7 | Timing | Apply to actively growing green foliage after full leaf elongation and up to first killing frost (i.e., August up to first killing frost). If stand has substantial amount of old stem tissue, allow to regrow to approximately 5 feet tall before treatment | Apply after plants are in full bloom in late summer up to the first killing frost (i.e., late August up to first killing frost) | Apply after plants are in full bloom in late summer up to the first killing frost (i.e., late August up to first killing frost) |
| Herbicide | High Volume | 4 to 6 pints per acre | 6 pints per acre | 3 pints glyphosate and 3 pints imazapyr per acre |
| Rate | Low Volume | 1 - 1.5% solution | 1 - 1.5% solution | No recommended rate is available |
| Cost | | High | Low | Medium |
| Effectivene | :55 | High Acts slowly and can remain active in the soil during the following year or more | Medium Good results with follow-up treatment or where water level management is available | High Recommended for most dense Phragmites stands |
| Precautions | 5 | Non-seclective and may persist actively in the soil for multiple years. Can move along roots and kill non-target species including nearby woody species. Not recommended for treatment in high quality areas with diverse native vegetation. | Non-selective and can kill non- target species when sprayed on foliage. May not be thoroughly transferred to roots in the first year and typically requires sub- sequent treatment. | Non-selective and may persist active- ly in the soil for multiple years. Can move along roots and kill non-target species including nearby woody spe- cies. Not recommended for treatement in high quality with diverse native vegetation. |

http://www.michigan.gov/invasives/0,5664,7-324-68001_73817-178183--,00.html



| | | APPROACH 1 | APPROACH 2 | APPROACH 3 |
|---------|------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|
| | Jan | | | |
| | Feb | | | |
| | Mar | | | |
| | April | | | |
| | May | | | |
| ar (| June | | | |
| Year] | July | | herbicide treatment with imazapyr | |
| - · · | Aug | | OR | |
| | Sep | herbicide treatm | ent with glyphosate or imazapyr/gl | yphosate combo |
| | Oct | | | |
| | Nov | | | mechanical |
| | Dec | | | treatment |
| | Jan | | | |
| | Feb | | prescribed burn | |
| | Mar | | | |
| | April | | | |
| 5 | May | | | |
| ar | June | | and some with the | (: [|
| Year 2 | July | prescribed burn | - | zapyr (if necessary) |
| | Aug | | phosate or imazapyr/glyphosate co | R) umbo (if necessary) |
| | Sep | spot treat with giv | phosate of imazapyr/gryphosate co | |
| | Oct | | | |
| | Nov | | | |
| | Dec | | | |
| | Jan Feb | | | |
| | Mar | | | |
| | April | | | |
| | May | | | |
| со С | June | | | |
| Year 3 | July | SI | oot treat with imazapyr (if necessar | y) |
| X | Aug | | OR | |
| | Sep | spot treat with gly | phosate or imazapyr/glyphosate co | mbo (if necessary) |
| | Oct | | | |
| | Nov | | | |
| | Dec | | | |

http://www.michigan.gov/invasives/0,5664,7-324-68001_73817-178183--,00.html





Northeast Region



Manmade impoundment in Bass River Township, NJ



Delaware



http://www1.udel.edu/PR/UDaily /2008/oct/PhragmitesBulletin.pdf

Delaware Private Lands Assistance Program

Delaware Phragmites Control Cost-Share Program



Do you hav Are they be Program p

What is the Delaware Phragmites Control Cost-share Program?





North Carolina Coastal Federation **35** years working together for a healthy coast

Southeast



South Carolina DNR

• Aquatic Nuisance Species Program

Phragmites in South Carolina (Cont. 2007-2009)

2009 Control was significantly reduced based on the current budget problems that are faced by Local, State, and Federal entities and the fact that control has been effective in significantly reducing the populations to a more manageable number in several areas including the Santee Coastal Reserve WMA and the Santee Delta WMA areas

| 2009 Phrag | mites Control O | perations | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|------------------|------------------|
| Location | PDF Maps | Acres | Costs |
| Santee Coastal | Adobe | 260 | \$51,025 |
| Yawkey | Adobe | 50 | \$9,813 |
| Baruch | Adobe | 22 | \$4,823 |
| Charleston Harbor NWS | NO MAP | 65 | \$12,756 |
| Caw Caw Natural Area | NO MAP | 2 | \$289 |
| Georgetown Parks | Adobe | 19 | \$4,015 |
| TOTAL | | 418 | \$82,721 |
| Private landowners | NO MAP | No Final Info | No Final Info |



Cost Share Programs

- NC Aquatic Weed Control Program
- Delaware
- South Carolina
- NRCS Environmental Quality Incentives Program (EQIP)



PRISMs

Partnership for Regional Invasive Species Management

- Recommendation from the NY Invasive Task Force
- Regional management
- Intended to:
 - Coordinate partner efforts
 - Recruit and train volunteers
 - Identify and deliver education and outreach
 - Establish early detection monitoring networks
 - Implement direct eradication efforts



New York PRISMs





Proactive Management



WASHINGTON STATE RECREATION AND CONSERVATION OFFICE Washington Invasive Species Council

top The Invasion



Photographs courtesy of Wendy Brown and Joseph M. BiTomaso, invasive.org

Cordgrass; Spartina

Spartina alterniflora



What is it?

Spartina species are aquatic grasses that grow on the mud flats and marshes of Puget Sound and our coastal estuaries. The plants tend to grow in circular clumps called 'clones' and are bright green in color. Smooth cordgrass came to Washington State in the late 1800s, either in shipments of oysters from the East Coast or as packing material in ships'





www.nccoast.org

3609 N.C. 24, Newport, NC 28570

252.393.8185